TOP TEN WORST PRESIDENTS

It takes a special person to become President of the United States. They must not only demonstrate leadership skills, decisiveness and possess a bit of luck, but they must also possess the ability to not permit the job to overwhelm them. Below is a list of those men who lacked most or all of these qualities and who, with the rest of the country, subsequently found their tenure in the White House to be more of a curse than a blessing. Of course, choosing which presidents are the worst is always going to be little more than personal bias and one usually driven by the current political atmopshere at that, but I have tried to avoid simply following the pack by looking past the last fifty years for my list of candidates. I also believe that it takes a few decades to truly gauge a President's performance, which can only be done once political passions have had a chance to cool. Additionally, it's also important to realize that who's the best and who's the worst is not a popularity contest. There have been bad presidents who remained personally popular both during and after their terms just as there have been pretty good presidents who were not personally popular then or now. I base my rankings on each man's accomplishments—or lack thereof—in relation to the length of time they were in office and considering what the geopolitical and economic situation was at the time. Put almost any of these men into a different time--even just a few decades earlier or later--and they might have been exceptional presidents. Finally, I am not ranking presidents who did not complete at least two years in office, as that would simply be unfair. And so, without further ado, here is my list of the top ten (or, actually, eleven, as we have a tie) men who would



10. (Tie) BENJAMIN HARRISON, 1889-1893:

have been better off being on vacation when their respective parties called them to duty.

The grandson of the ill-fated 9th president of the United States, William Henry Harrison, the cold and humorless Benjamin Harrison rode into office largely upon his pledge to keep the government's promise to compensate his fellow Civil War veterans which his opponent, the incumbent President Grover Cleveland, had obstinately refused to do. Once in office, 'ol Ben was good for his word and coughed up the promised funds, which turned out to be the high-point of his tenure. After that, things went downhill fast—especially economically. By the next election cycle, the country was in a full-blown depression and Cleveland won his old job back, defeating the very man who had defeated him just four years earlier.



9. JIMMY CARTER, 1977-1981:

8. MILLARD FILLMORE, 1851-1853:

10. (Tie) WILLIAM HOWARD TAFT, 1909-1913:

It would be hard for any man to follow in the footsteps of the larger-than-life Teddy Roosevelt, but the corpulent Taft definitely failed to live up to even lowered expectations, which was curious considering that Taft had been Teddy's hand-picked successor. The problem was that TR was what one would call a progressive and he thought Taft would continue in that tradition, but it quickly turned out that he was an old school Republican after all, much to Teddy's chagrin. So disappointed was he in Taft that Teddy challenged him in the primary in 1912 and, though he won more states and delegates than the incumbent president, he still lost the nomination to Taft at the convention. Never the pragmatist, Teddy then went on to run a third party campaign, splitting the Republican vote and handing the White House to the Democrats for the first time in sixteen years.



Though he still has his proponents today, the case could be made that Jimmy Carter was the quintessential man in over his head if there ever was one. While a generally genial and compassionate man, what the country needed was a strong leader willing to face up to the Ayatollahs and tackle the double digit inflation that dogged his administration. To be fair, Carter did have a couple of successes; for example, he did get Israel and Egypt to sign the Camp David Peace Accord, which brought peace to the two antagonists after nearly thirty years of intermittent warfare. Over all, though, if one were to sum up the Carter administration it would probably be: "best of intentions but a job too big for the man to handle." I'll give him an A for effort, though.



weak presidents, one of whom was Millard Fillmore. Only the second man to assume the presidency upon the death of his predecessor (in this case, Zachary Taylor, who died just over a year into his administration) Fillmore seemed overwhelmed with the job right from the start. It's not that he made lots of mistakes, it's just that he didn't do much—other than perhaps encourage secessionists by deciding it was be a good idea to make the newest western states slave states in an effort to appease the south. In his own words: "God knows that I detest slavery, but it is an existing evil ... and we must endure it and give it such protection as is guaranteed by the Constitution." How's that for a man of conviction?

The period immediately preceding the Civil War produced an unusually large number of



The first sitting vice-president to ascend to the presidency (upon the death of William Hen-

7. JOHN TYLER, 1841-1845:

ry Harrison, who died just a month after being inaugurated) things did not go well for Mr. Tyler from the beginning. First, it wasn't entirely clear that the vice-president could simply assume the presidency upon the president's death, creating a political crisis. Tyler won that debate, but that was the extent of his success. After that, he turned on his former supporters, vetoing their entire agenda, and got himself expelled from the Whig party (which is not surprising considering he was a former states rights Democrat before joining the Whigs). By the time he left office, not even his wife was willing to give him a second term. He eventually won a congressional seat in the Confederate government but died before he could take office, ending a long but decidedly mediocre public service career.



of support into office in 1928, only to see it all come crashing down—both literally and

6. HERBERT HOOVER, 1929-1933:

figuratively—just a few months after being sworn in. Of course, he got all the blame for it, even though the dynamics that made the crash inevitable had been enshrined in American financial institutions long before he put his hand on the Bible and took the oath of office. What he was responsible for, however, was helping the country work its way out of the Great Depression, which he proved to be wholly incapable of doing. Hoover tried, to be sure, but by the time the next election cycle came up, unemployment stood at a staggering 25% and Hoover was toast. 5. ULYSSES S. GRANT, 1869-1877:

Hoover, perhaps in the best example of worst timing ever, managed to ride a groundswell



Any man that could command a million-man army and defeat Robert E. Lee should make an ideal president, or so one would think, but Grant proved that assumption to be erroneous.

The problem was that the genial and well-meaning Grant, while a man of personal integrity, had absolutely no capacity to discern the same in others. As a result, he surrounded himself with some of the most corrupt men ever to sit on a cabinet. Worse, he was fiercely loyal and so was reluctant to sack anyone once their indiscretions became not only public, but self-evident. Had it not been for his personal popularity (Grant is the most popular president to make the bottom ten) it's unlikely he would've seen more than the one term. 4. WARREN G. HARDING, 1921-1923:

If any man had less capacity to be president of the United States than Warren G. Harding,



it's hard to know who it might be. Harding basically became president because he was con-

sidered handsome (by the standards of the time—and remember, this was the first election in which women could vote) and because people were tired of Woodrow Wilson's shenanigans. Unfortunately, he was somewhat ethically challenged and seemed far more interested in playing poker, drinking, and pursuing women than leading the country. Fortunately, the economy was booming in the twenties or he could well have gone down as the worst president. He also died just three years into his term, supposedly of heart disease, which further insulated him from deserved criticism. 3. ANDREW JOHNSON, 1865-1869: Old Abe was not known for his ability to pick competent generals until he happened upon



Grant. The same might be said for his choice of running mate in 1864, when he chose Andrew Johnson—an anti-secessionist Democrat from Tennessee—to be the man entrusted

with being a heartbeat away from the presidency. The problem was that once Johnson was handed the keys to the White House upon Lincoln's death, he and the Republican controlled congress couldn't seem to agree on much of anything. (Johnson holds the record for most presidential vetoes and for having the most presidential vetoes overridden by congress.) As a result, he ended up in a four-year-long spat that turned the post-war reconstruction efforts into a nightmare and nearly prematurely cost him his job when he avoided being impeached by a single vote. What was 'ol Abe thinking? 2. JAMES BUCHANAN, 1857-1861: Okay, so he wasn't quite as bad as his predecessor in that he didn't openly support succession and slavery; it's just that "ol "Buck", as he was known, did absolutely nothing to stop or



even slow the secessionist train down as it headed towards the country at full speed. What

is sad is that Buchanan had the résumé to be a good president: a popular and experienced politician, Buchanan ably represented Pennsylvania in the House of Representatives and later the Senate, and served as Secretary of State under President James K. Polk. What he lacked was awareness of the dangers the country faced or the courage to do anything about them, which is even more unforgivable than simply making mistakes. Buchanan might have made a passable president at another time, but in 1857 he proved disastrous. 1. FRANKLIN PIERCE, 1853-1857: Ol' Frank usually makes it to the bottom of most of these lists, probably because he did more to set the stage for the Civil War than any other president. What did he do? Well, for

one thing, he repealed the Missouri Compromise act of 1850, thereby reopening the question of whether slavery should be permitted in new western states, further fueling the fires of succession—which he also supported, by the way (even becoming the only ex-president



to openly support the south during the Civil War). It's not that Franklin was evil. In fact, by

most accounts he was a fairly genial guy. It's just that he was badly on the wrong side of history who probably did more than any other president to make the Civil War inevitable. Sadly, he was also the only president to die of alcoholism, succumbing to sclerosis of the liver in 1869 making him about as tragic a figure as one could imagine. **BONUS TRIVIA FACT:** Since 1789, forty-three men have held the presidency. Of them, fifteen have been Democrats, eighteen have been Republicans, four were Whigs (the precursor to the Republican Party), four were Democratic-Republicans (the forerunner of the Democratic Party), one was a Federalist (whatever that is), and one, George Washington, was an independent.